

	School overview
School name	Sytchampton Endowed Primary School
Pupils in school	93
Proportion of disadvantaged pupils	5% (5 pupils)
Pupil premium allocation this academic year (2020-2021)	£6690
Academic year or years covered by statement	2020 - 2023
Publish date	July 2020
Review date	July 2021
Statement authorised by	
Pupil premium lead	Amanda Richards (Headteacher)
Governor lead	

Current Cohort Information								
Characteristic	Number in Group (overall)	% of PPG Pupils	% of School					
Male	3	60%	3%					
Female	2	40%	2%					
SEND (Special Needs/Disability)	2	40%	2%					
EHCP (Education Health Care Plan)	0	0%	0%					
LAC/PLAC (Looked After/Previously Looked After)	1 (PLAC)	20%	1%					
GRT (Gypsy Romany Traveller)	0	0%	0%					

SCHOOL PRINTS OF

Pupil Premium 3 Year Strategy Statement

Assessment Data

EYFS (Early Years Foundation Stage)								
2019-2020	Pupil	All Pupils	National –	PP	Data From Previous 3 Ye	ears		
Coronavirus	Premium	(School)	Other Pupils	2018-2019	2017-2018	2016-2017		
	Pupils			(1 pupils)	(1 pupils)	(O pupils)		
	(pupils)							
GLD (Good Level of				100%	0%	n/a		
Development)								
Exceeding GLD						n/a		
Reading				100%	100%	n/a		
Writing				100%	100%	n/a		
Number				100%	100%	n/a		
Shape				100%	0%	n/a		

Year 1 Phonics Screening Check									
All Pupils	Pupil Premium Pupils	National Average	PP Data From Previous 3 Years						
2019	2019	2019	2018-2019	2017-2018	2016-2017				
	(pupils)		(1 pupils)	(0 pupils)	(0 pupils)				
88%	100%	82%	100%	n/a	n/a				



End of KS1 Pupil Premium								
2019-2020 COVID 19	% Exp +	% National All Exp +	% GD	% National All GD				
Reading								
Writing								
Maths								
2018-2019 (0 pupils)	% Exp +	% National All Exp +	% GD	% National All GD				
Reading	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Writing	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Maths	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
2017-2018	% Exp +	% National All Exp +	% GD	% National All GD				
(0 pupils)								
Reading	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Writing	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Maths	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
2016-2017 (1 pupil)	% Exp +	% National All Exp +	% GD	% National All GD				
Reading	100%	76%	0%	25%				
Writing	100%	68%	0%	16%				
Maths	100%	75%	0%	21%				



End of KS2 Disad	vantaged Pupi	ls							
2019-2020 COVID 19	Progress Scores	National Non Dis	National Dis Progress	% Exp+ School	% National All Exp +	% Dis Exp+ National	% GD School	% National All GD	% Dis GD National
Reading									
Writing									
Maths									
2018-2019 (1 pupil)	Progress Scores	National Non Dis	National Dis Progress	% Exp+ School	% National All Exp +	% Dis Exp+ National	% GD School	% National All GD	% Dis GD National
Reading	3.57	0.32	-0.62	0%	78%	62%	0%	31%	17%
Writing	-0.88	0.27	-0.50	0%	83%	68%	0%	24%	11%
Maths	3.28	0.37	-0.71	0%	84%	67%	0%	32%	16%
2017-2018 (1 pupils)	Progress Scores	National Non Dis	National Dis Progress	% Exp+ School	% National All Exp +	% Dis Exp+ National	% GD School	% National All GD	% Dis GD National
Reading	4.06	0.31	-0.59	100%	80%	64%	0%	28%	18%
Writing	1.83	0.24	-0.44	100%	83%	67%	0%	20%	11%
Maths	4.70	0.31	-0.58	100%	78%	64%	0%	24%	14%
2016-2017 (1 pupil)	Progress Scores	National Non Dis	National Dis Progress	% Exp+ School	% National All Exp +	% Non Dis Exp+ National	% GD School	% National All GD	% Non Dis GD National
Reading	2.97	0.33		100%	72%	77%	0%	25%	29%
Writing	-8.31	0.18		0%	76%	81%	0%	18%	21%
Maths	2.51	0.29		100%	75%	80%	0%	23%	27%



Absence Eve	r 6 Pupils						
	Whole School Absence %	National Absence % All Pupils	Number of Ever 6 Enrolments In School		Disadvantaged		
2019-2020	n/a	n/a	n/a	School	National		
COVID 19				N/A	N/A		
2018-2019	3.1%	4%		School	National		
				9	9	6.1%	5.6%
2017-2018	4.1%	4.2		School	National		
				4	4.1%	5.7%	
2016-2017	6.2%	4%	9	School	National		
				18.3%	5.5%		

Strengths 2019-2020	Areas for further development 2020-2021
 The proportion of disadvantaged pupils in the school is significantly lower than that of other schools nationally. Disadvantaged pupils generally achieve well, meeting at least Expected Standards at the end of Early Years, Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2 Disadvantaged pupils generally make significantly better progress across the school compared to other pupils nationally 	 The school needs to consider how to increase progress for Disadvantaged Pupils so that a greater proportion achieve Greater Depth Progress in Writing is not always as strong as that of other pupils nationally and is an area of focus for our Disadvantaged Pupils Attendance of Disadvantaged pupils is not always in line with that of other pupils nationally

Pupil Premium 3 Year Strategy Statement



Our Tiered Approach:

2. Targeted Support

- Targeted Literacy and Numeracy intervention 3 x week for identified pupils
- 1:1 Emotional and Social intervention
- Speech and Language intervention for identified pupils

3. Wider Strategies

- Wrap Around Care including Breakfast Club
- Financial support for trips and immersive experiences
- Financial support with uniform
- Additional Music Tuition for identified pupils
- Attendance Monitoring and Whole Class Rewards



1. High Quality Teaching

- CPD 'What Makes Great Teaching' for all staff (Rosenshine's Principles)
- NCTEM Maths Mastery Speicalist
 2 Year Course for Maths Lead
- Effective Feedback CPD all staff
- Focus on developing Subject Leaders
- CPD on retrieval and embedding key knowledge
- Development of a highly coherent English Curriuclum with a key focus on Spelling,
 Developing Vocabulary and Early Reading

Constant Service Primary Servi

Pupil Premium 3 Year Strategy Statement

Strategy

1.	High Quality Teaching For	All				
Priority	Chosen approach/Actions	Evidence Base/Rationale (Why this approach?)	Expenditure		Evaluation	Next Steps
			Propo sed	Actual		
1.1	CPD 'What Makes Great Teaching' for all staff (Rosenshine's Principles)	Research shows that highly effective, quality first teaching can have a significant impact on the progress of disadvantaged pupils. "One factor – the quality of teaching in formal education – holds huge potential in reducing, and in some cases even eliminating, the attainment gap." EEF Attainment Gap Report 2018	£0	£		
1.2	NCTEM Maths Mastery Speicalist 2 Year Course for Maths Lead	"There are a number of meta-analyses which indicate that, on average, mastery learning approaches are effective, leading to an additional five months' progress." EEF Toolkit Mastery Specialists are classroom practitioners who develop expertise in the mastery approach to teaching maths. Through rigorous and interactive training, they become experts in introducing and embedding mastery. After first developing a mastery approach in their own classrooms, they go on to support colleagues in their own and other	£0 (Fully Funde d by NCTE M)			



		schools. https://www.ncetm.org.uk/resources/53452			
1.3	Focus on developing Subject Leaders	The new OFSTED Handbook is now being used in schools and colleges. It emphasises the importance of the curriculum as the driver for evidence that defines a high-quality education. Looking at some of the observations in OFSTED reports from schools inspected since September 2019. OFSTED are conducting 'deep dives' into subjects, they want to see high quality, in-depth subject knowledge, delivered by specialists who know how to ensure pupils learn the curriculum. This will then drive up standards in all subjects.	£0	£	
1.4	Effective Feedback CPD all staff	Research shows that highly effective, quality first teaching can have a significant impact on the progress of disadvantaged pupils. Feedback is one of the most powerful influences on learning and achievement. (Hattie and Temperley 2007). Feedback studies tend to show very high effects on learning. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org. uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/feedback/	£0	£	
5	CPD on retrieval and embedding key knowledge	Retrieval practice is strongly supported by over 100 years of research and is one of only two learning techniques rated by Dunlosky et	£0		



		al (2013) as having 'high utility' for classroom practice. https://educationendowmentfoundation.org. uk/news/does-research-on-retrieval-practice- translate-into-classroom-practice/			
	Development of a highly coherent English Curriuclum with a key focus on Spelling, Developing Vocabulary and Early Reading	Learning sequences in some subjects need to be more coherent, taking account of relative starting points, embedding key vocabulary and more challenge for More Able pupils Writing Review April 2020	£292.8 0 p/a £77.19		
Total Ex	·		£369.9 9	£	



2.	2. Targeted Support								
Priority	Chosen approach/Actions	Evidence Base/Rationale (Why this approach?)	Expen	diture	Evaluation	Next Steps			
			Propo sed	Actual					
2.1	Targeted Literacy and Numeracy intervention 3 x week for identified pupils	Historically, Disadvantaged Pupils have achieved the expected standards in Reading, Writing and Maths, but do not achieve Greater Depth, particularly in Writing. Overall, evidence shows that small group tuition is effective and, as a rule of thumb, the smaller the group the better. Tuition in groups of two has a slightly higher impact than in groups of three, but a slightly lower impact than one to one tuition. (EEF Toolkit)	£3814. 32						
2.2	1:1 Emotional and Social intervention	Interventions which target social and emotional learning (SEL) seek to improve pupils' interaction with others and self-management of emotions, rather than focusing directly on the academic or cognitive elements of learning. On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and	£1,320 .00						



		valuable impact on attitudes to learning and social relationships in school. They also have an average overall impact of four months' additional progress on attainment. EEF Toolkit			
2.3	Speech and Language intervention for identified pupils	Disadvantaged pupils are more likely to have additional needs. Pupils who are identified as having specific speech and language needs will be given tailored and targeted support. Speech and language is key to developing Writing.	£800		



Total Ex	penditure:		£5934.	£	
		 The Department for Education (DfE) published <u>research</u> in 2016 which found that: The higher the overall absence rate across Key Stage (KS) 2 and KS4, the lower the likely level of attainment at the end of KS2 and KS4 Pupils with no absence are 1.3 times more likely to achieve level 4 or above, and 3.1 times more likely to achieve level 5 or above, than pupils that missed 10-15% of all sessions Pupils with no absence are 2.2 times more likely to achieve 5+ GCSEs A*- C or equivalent including English and mathematics than pupils that missed 15-20% of KS4 lessons 			
2.4	Attendance Monitoring and Whole Class Attendance Reward Scheme	Whilst the proportion of Pupil Premium pupils has been low over the past few years, their attendance has not always been in line with that of other pupils.			



3.	Wider Strategies					
Priority	Chosen approach/Actions	Evidence Base/Rationale (Why this approach?)	Expen	diture	Evaluation	Next Steps
			Propo sed	Actual		
3.1	Financial support for trips and immersive experiences	The Council for Learning Outside the Classroom (LOtC) commissioned a survey through Teacher Voice which found that: 87% of teachers said that Learning Outside the Classroom made lessons memorable and 77% agreed that it motivated and enthused young people with regards to their learning. Learning outside the classroom therefore adds value to each individual's academic and personal development and should be embedded into the curriculum. https://www.nationaljusticemuseum.org.uk/s chool-trip-benefits-sen-students/#:~:text=School%20trips%20provide %20unique%20opportunities,to%20their%20learning%20and%20understanding.	£200	£		



3.2	Additional Music Tuition for identified pupils	Most children will have their first experience of music at school. It is important that music education of high quality is available to as many of them as possible: it must not become the preserve of those children whose families can afford to pay for music tuition. While music touches the lives of all young people, the disadvantaged can benefit most. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachmentdata/file/180973/DFE-00086-2011.pdf	£220		
3.3	Wrap Around Care including Breakfast Club	Research into the impact of Breakfast Clubs, has shown some impact upon attendance and punctuality. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachmentdata/file/603946/Evaluation of Breakfast Clubs - Final Report.pdf	£		
Total Expenditure:		£420	£		



Pupil Premium 3 Year Strategy Statement

Annual Review

	Aims	Success Criteria		Evalu	ation	
			2020-2021	2021-2022	2022-2023	Next Steps
1	The proportion of Disadvantaged Pupils achieving Greater Depth at the end of EYFS, KS1 and KS2 is at least in line with that of other Pupils nationally.	The proportion of Disadvantaged pupils achieving Exceeding at the end of EYFS is at or above The proportion of Disadvantaged Pupils achieving Greater Depth at the end of KS1 is at or exceeding: Reading 25% Writing 16% Maths 21% The proportion of Disadvantaged Pupils achieving Greater Depth at the end of KS2 is at or exceeding: Reading 25% Writing 18% Writing 18% Maths 23%	Coronavirus – No results			
2	Disadvantaged pupils achieve at least the expected standards in Writing at the end of EYFS, KS1 and KS2	All disadvantaged pupils achieve at least the expected standard in Writing at the end of EYFS, KS1 and KS2.	Coronavirus – No results			
3	Attendance of Disadvantaged Pupils is at least in line with, if not exceeding, that of other pupils nationally.	Absence of Disadvantaged pupils is at least in line with or below 4.93% (Autumn 2019/2020)	Coronavirus – No data			



Pupil Premium 3 Year Strategy Statement

Budget Review

Tiered Approach		Evaluation						
	2020-	2020-2021		2021-2022		2023	Next Steps	
	Budgeted	Actual	Budgeted	Actual	Budgeted	Actual		
	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs	Costs		
High Quality Teaching For All								
Targeted Intervention								
Wider Strategies								